

1 Docket #33472/1

2 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

3

4 **TITLE: BIDDING FOR ENERGY SUPPLY TO RESELLERS AND THEIR  
5 CUSTOMERS**

6 INVENTORS: Jack J. Johnson, Summit, NJ

7 William F. Coyle, Summit, NJ

8

9 This Application is a Continuation of co-pending Application Ser. #10/062,798, filed January 31,  
10 2002, which is a Continuation of Application Ser. #09/542,451. Application Ser. #09/542,451,  
11 filed April 4, 2000, now U.S. Pat. #6,598,029 B1, issued July 22, 2003, is a Continuation-in-Part  
12 of Application Ser. #09/023,968, filed February 13, 1998, now U.S. Pat. #6,047,274, issued April  
13 4, 2000. Application Ser. # 09/023,968 claims the benefit of the priority of Provisional  
14 Application Ser. #60/039,041 filed February 24, 1997 and Provisional Application Ser.  
15 #60/064,421 filed October 30, 1997.

16

17 **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

18 1. Field of the Invention

19 The invention is in the field of provision of energy supply, such as electric power and  
20 natural gas.

21

22 2. Description of the Background Art

23        The electric power and natural gas industries will experience fundamental changes over  
24    the next few years as the results of continuing deregulation take hold. One of those results is to  
25    give end users a choice of energy providers. Until now, substantially all end users purchased the  
26    electric power or natural gas they needed from the local electric or gas utility serving their  
27    geographic area. Electric utilities have generally operated as vertically integrated local  
28    monopolies, producing or purchasing (on a wholesale basis), the quantities of electric power they  
29    needed to serve all end users within the utility's geographic boundaries. Natural gas utilities  
30    have generally operated in a similar fashion, though usually purchasing rather than producing  
31    most of the natural gas they need.

32        According to the Federal Energy Information Administration, legislation to deregulate  
33    the electric power industry has been adopted in five states and is pending in over 20 others. In  
34    general, this legislation calls for a restructuring of the industry into at least three kinds of  
35    participants: (i) electric power generating companies, (ii) long-haul transmission companies, and  
36    (iii) local distribution companies ("DISCos"). Power generators will include companies that  
37    own actual generating facilities as well as those firms that purchase generating capacity from  
38    others and market that available power directly to end users. Under most of the various  
39    legislative approaches, an end user will be given the opportunity to purchase its electric power  
40    from any legitimate power generating company willing to supply electric power to that end user's  
41    geographic region. One of the primary aims of electric power deregulation efforts nationwide is  
42    to reduce end user's energy prices by introducing competition among power generators. As  
43    competition increases, power generators are expected to offer prospective customers various  
44    pricing plans premised, for example, on volume and term commitments, and peak/off-peak  
45    usage. Under most of the pending deregulation schemes, the local distribution company

46 facilities of the local electric utility will continue to be a government-regulated monopoly within  
47 the region it serves. These facilities are primarily the wires and other equipment constituting the  
48 local power grid over which electric power is transmitted to end user locations, having been  
49 delivered to the grid by generating plants within the local utility's service area or by other  
50 utilities' grids interfacing with that local utility's grid (when the local utility purchases electric  
51 power today from suppliers outside of its service area).

52 In the natural gas industry, similar deregulatory efforts are underway to enable greater  
53 competition and customer choice. The wholesale purchase and sale of natural gas has already  
54 been mostly deregulated. In some states large industrial and commercial customers can purchase  
55 their natural gas directly from gas producers rather than from the local gas utility. Most industry  
56 observers expect local natural gas utilities to be restructured in the near future to follow the  
57 model being used in the electric power industry as a result of deregulation, with three similar  
58 components: (i) natural gas production companies, (ii) gas pipeline transmission companies, and  
59 (iii) local distribution companies ("DISCos"). Gas producers will include companies that own  
60 actual production facilities as well as these firms that purchase production capacity from others  
61 and market that available gas directly to end users. End users are expected to be given the  
62 opportunity to purchase the natural gas they need from any of numerous natural gas producers  
63 willing to supply natural gas to that end user's locale. Under most of the expected deregulation  
64 models, the local distribution company facilities of the local gas utility will continue to be a  
65 government-regulated monopoly within the region it serves. These facilities are primarily the  
66 pipelines and other equipment constituting the regional gas pipeline network through which  
67 natural gas is transported to end user locations, having been delivered to the regional network by  
68 production facilities within the local utility's service area or, more often, by long-haul gas

69 pipeline transmission companies transporting natural gas from production facilities to the local  
70 utility's regional pipeline network.

71        Meter reading and billing of end users has until now generally been handled by the local  
72 distribution utility as part of its local franchise. As a result of deregulation, however, the local  
73 distribution utility is expected in many jurisdictions to lose this monopoly over meter reading  
74 and billing. The various state public utility commissions ("PUCs") in those states where electric  
75 power deregulation plans are at an advanced stage, for example, are considering giving power  
76 generators the right to read meters and render their own bills without the cooperation of the local  
77 distribution utility. In many cases, the power generator or end user may have the right to  
78 determine who will own the meter and whether the end user will receive separate bills (one for  
79 energy consumption from the power generator and another for the distribution and service  
80 charges of the local distribution utility) or a consolidated bill, as is the case today. Many  
81 industry experts expect independent service entities (not necessarily affiliated with, but acting as  
82 agents for, power generators or gas producers, local distribution utilities, end users, or any  
83 combination thereof) to provide meter reading and billing services on a more efficient basis than  
84 local electric and gas utilities do today.

85        Both electric and gas utilities rely primarily on meters at customer sites to apprise them  
86 of how much energy the customer has taken from the utility's supply lines running down the  
87 street. Many of these meters can measure (i) the volume of energy used (e.g., kilowatt-hours of  
88 electricity), (ii) the highest volume used during any hour throughout a monthly billing cycle  
89 (peak demand), and (iii) the volume used in every hour of the monthly billing cycle (or as short a  
90 period as every 15 minutes during this cycle). Some meters, such as those used by larger  
91 industrial and commercial end users, can measure all of the above. Other meters measure only

92 total monthly volume and peak demand. Meters servicing residential customers often measure  
93 only total volume used during the month.

94 Today, most end users have meters that require a physical on-site visit by the local utility  
95 to read the meter in order to determine the end user's actual energy usage since the last time the  
96 meter was read. Typically, such on-site visits are made once a month. If the local utility fails to  
97 make such a visit, the end user's energy usage for that month is estimated and billed based on  
98 prior usage. Billing is then reconciled after the next on-site meter reading. More sophisticated  
99 meters now available enable the local utility to monitor the end user's actual energy usage  
100 electronically, without requiring a physical on-site visit to read the meter. Employing these  
101 meters, the local utility can continuously monitor the end user's actual energy usage by taking  
102 readings every 15 minutes throughout the day, if necessary. Some local electric utilities, for  
103 example, require their largest customers to install these electronic remotely-readable meters so  
104 that the utility can monitor these customers' actual usage throughout the day and, as a result,  
105 better manage and balance the overall load on its local power distribution grid. Industry experts  
106 expect meter manufacturers within a few years to reduce this monitoring window to under five  
107 minutes.

108 Whether the meter is read by an on-site visit or via remote communication, today the  
109 local utility records that energy usage data and applies its applicable tariffed rate to produce a bill  
110 for the end user. These tariffs, filed by the local utility with the applicable state PUC, set forth  
111 specific rates to be charged to different classes of customers - e.g., large industrial and  
112 commercial end users often pay rates based on peak demand as well as total volume consumed,  
113 whereas the rates paid by residential customers typically relate only to total volume consumed.  
114 Some tariffs call for different rates depending on time of use (e.g., peak v. off-peak pricing). In

115 general, large customers pay lower rates than small customers. As deregulation progresses,  
116 competing energy providers are expected to offer end users myriad pricing plans and contractual  
117 arrangements geared to time of use, volume and term commitments, etc. Power generators will  
118 compete with other power generators just as gas producers will compete with other gas  
119 producers.

120 An active wholesale market exists for electric power. Power generators, local electric  
121 utilities, resellers, independent traders and brokers actively buy and sell electric power among  
122 themselves. A power generator may wish to sell excess generating capacity not required for its  
123 own operations or not contractually committed to any utility, or may need to purchase additional  
124 power to satisfy its generating commitments. A local electric utility may be selling excess  
125 generating capacity (from its own generating plants) or buying power from nearby utilities,  
126 resellers, traders or brokers to cover a shortfall in its own supply (e.g., during certain peak  
127 periods). Resellers and traders may be fulfilling take-or-pay or supply contracts they have with  
128 power generators, local utilities or each other or just buying or selling based on speculation about  
129 the future price of power in the spot market. Under deregulation, the local electric utility will no  
130 longer have a monopoly on selling power to end users. Power generators, other utilities,  
131 resellers, brokers and other power marketers will all be able to sell electric power directly to end  
132 users.

133 In the wholesale power market, buyers typically take title to the electric power they  
134 purchase at well-established interfaces or transfer points on a regional power grid (e.g., the  
135 Oregon-California border). In many cases, however, the purchase arrangement may call for title  
136 to be passed at some alternate point, such as (i) the point on the regional grid nearest the seller's  
137 generating facility or (ii) if the buyer is a local distribution utility, the point(s) on its local grid

138 where the grid interfaces with the power grids of neighboring utilities. Before this power can be  
139 delivered to the buyer at the agreed transfer point, the seller must schedule a “contract path” for  
140 this power to travel from the seller’s generating facility (or the point at which the seller is to take  
141 title if the seller purchased this power from another source) to the transfer point. The buyer  
142 must, in turn, schedule a transmission path from the transfer point to the buyer’s own grid  
143 interface (if the buyer, for example, is a local distribution utility) or, if the buyer is reselling this  
144 power to another party, to a transfer point agreed to by such other party. Scheduling contract or  
145 transmission paths is usually coordinated through the regional grid controller(s) for the power  
146 grids over which this power is to be transmitted. The regional grid controller manages one or  
147 more local power grids, keeping demand on the combined grid in balance with available supply  
148 at all times. Generally, but not always, the affected power grids are those owned and controlled  
149 by the electric utilities whose service areas are situated between the source of this power and the  
150 transfer point. The charges for transmission of the purchased power to and from the point at  
151 which title is passed are normally borne by the seller and buyer, respectively.

152 In many states or geographic regions, local electric utilities have formed wholesale power  
153 pools in which they share power, as needed, with other members of the pool under arrangements  
154 and according to rules previously agreed to by all the members. In some of these power pools,  
155 the members’ generating facilities and key portions of their respective power grids are placed  
156 under the control of a regional or pool controller who manages the continuous balancing of  
157 power being transmitted across these grids for greatest efficiency and at lowest cost to the  
158 members. The pool controller in some cases, for example, will advise the pool members on one  
159 day of the power he expects to need during each hour of the following day, in order to satisfy the  
160 projected aggregate demand on the pool’s combined grid by the utilities’ customers. Each

161 member is invited to submit offers (quantities and prices) of the power it is willing to supply to  
162 the combined grid. Starting with the lowest-priced power first, the controller accepts such offers  
163 until he reaches the aggregate quantity he needs for each hour of the next day. Typically, the  
164 clearing price - the price of the last unit of power needed by the controller to meet his projected  
165 demand for each hour - is used to set the price that all suppliers for that hour will receive,  
166 notwithstanding that some of the accepted offers were at prices lower than the clearing price.  
167 This approach ensures an efficient but equitable least-cost wholesale pricing arrangement among  
168 the pool members.

169 As deregulation efforts have gained momentum in the electric power industry, similar  
170 pooling arrangements have been explored to make the wholesale market more efficient but also  
171 to give energy marketers not affiliated with a local utility a reasonable chance to compete. The  
172 California Public Utilities Commission, for example, has proposed a power exchange to which  
173 the three largest in-state electric utilities must sell all their generated power and from which they  
174 must also buy all the power they need for distribution to their end user customers. Other power  
175 generators, utilities, resellers, traders and brokers can also buy and sell power through this  
176 exchange. Each day the operator of the power exchange will assess the next day's power supply  
177 requirements for the three largest utilities' customers as well as all those of the other local  
178 utilities in California to be supplied power via the exchange. The operator will ask power  
179 generators, local utilities with generating capacity, resellers and traders (and any others willing to  
180 supply electric power to the exchange) to submit asking prices for specified quantities of power  
181 to be delivered to the California power grid during each hour of the next day. Starting with the  
182 lowest-priced power first, the exchange operator will then match its assessed needs for power  
183 during each hour of the next day against the offered power until the operator has identified

184 sufficient power supplies for each hour to meet its anticipated demand. The price at which this  
185 offered power is accepted by the exchange operator will be the purchase price payable to the  
186 power provider. The power exchange plans to publish these prices every day. Similar exchange  
187 or pooling arrangements are being studied by other state public utility commissions as part of  
188 their deregulation proceedings.

189 One of the primary objectives of deregulation is to reduce energy costs for end users by  
190 fostering competition among energy providers. Most electric power industry analysts, for  
191 example, assume that end users will only realize significant savings if they move to time-of-use  
192 pricing (e.g., peak v. off-peak). In many states, larger end users are already subject to different  
193 prices based on the cost to the local electric utility of supplying power during periods of peak  
194 demand across its service area. In general, the cost to providers of generating power during peak  
195 demand hours can be dramatically higher than at other times of the day. The greater efficiency  
196 of the wholesale market and increased visibility of wholesale prices is expected to influence the  
197 pricing plans that providers will be willing to offer end users, especially those end users who are  
198 willing to pay different prices based on (i) when during the day they typically need more or less  
199 power and/or (ii) whether they can alter their current power consumption patterns to conserve  
200 usage during the hours of highest demand within the local utility's service area.

201 An active wholesale market also exists for natural gas. Gas producers, local gas utilities,  
202 resellers, independent traders and brokers actively buy and sell natural gas among themselves. A  
203 gas producer may wish to sell excess production capacity not required for its own operations or  
204 not contractually committed to any utility or other party, or may need to purchase additional gas  
205 supplies to satisfy its production commitments. A local utility may be buying natural gas from  
206 producers, other utilities, resellers, traders or brokers to secure its necessary supplies or may be

207 selling gas to many of these same parties if it has excess supplies. Resellers and traders may be  
208 fulfilling take-or-pay or supply contracts they have with gas producers, local utilities or each  
209 other or just buying or selling based on speculation about the future price of natural gas in the  
210 spot market. Gas producers, other utilities, resellers, brokers and other natural gas marketers will  
211 all be able to sell natural gas directly to end users under most deregulation models for the natural  
212 gas market.

213 In the wholesale natural gas market, buyers may take title to the gas they purchase at any  
214 of several possible transfer points from the gas production facilities to the interface between the  
215 long-haul transmission pipeline transporting the gas and the local utility's regional pipeline  
216 network. Scheduling transmission of newly purchased or sold gas is usually coordinated with  
217 the operator of the long-haul transmission pipeline expected to transport this gas to the buyer.  
218 The charges for transmission of the purchased gas to and from the point at which title is passed  
219 are normally borne by the seller and buyer, respectively.

220

## 221 **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

222 The provision of electric power and natural gas to end users is dominated by fixed price  
223 arrangements set according to (i) orders promulgated by the federal or state governmental bodies  
224 regulating providers, (ii) tariffs filed with such governmental authorities by the providers, or (iii)  
225 contractual arrangements between providers and end users. However, because of technological  
226 and regulatory changes, the provision of these sources of energy is becoming more of a  
227 commodity, with competition between providers expected to increase dramatically in the next  
228 few years. The invention disclosed herein provides an auction service that will stimulate this  
229 competition and facilitate the consumer's ability (and that of resellers) to make economic choices

230 between providers. In this method and system, providers supply energy (i.e., electric power or  
231 natural gas) to end users (or resellers) in accordance with economic incentives (e.g., lowest  
232 price) resulting from a bidding process between participating providers, administered by a  
233 bidding service entity through operation of a central processor, a computer referred to as a  
234 bidding moderator (the “Moderator”). The bidding process to supply electric power will be  
235 conducted separate and apart from the bidding process to supply natural gas. Power generators  
236 will compete only with other power generators. Gas producers will compete only with other gas  
237 producers. However, for ease of reference, power generators and gas producers are each referred  
238 to herein as “energy providers” or just “Providers.” Through this auction, Providers will be  
239 apprised of the bids of competing Providers and have an opportunity to modify their bids  
240 accordingly.

241        Each of the Providers transmits to the Moderator the rate it is willing to charge (or other  
242 economic incentive it is willing to offer) for electric power or natural gas to be provided to an  
243 end user or group of end users (or a reseller or group of resellers), over some particular period of  
244 time. For purposes of this application, resellers can be Providers or buyers of energy supply.  
245 This “bid” may be lower than that Provider’s established rate for any of several reasons (e.g., the  
246 Provider has excess generating or production capacity at that time). The Provider may, for  
247 example, also decide for capacity or competitive reasons to place different bids on energy to be  
248 provided, for example, to different end users at different times of day and at different  
249 destinations (e.g., with higher prices for electric power supplied during daily peak demand  
250 periods or for power delivered to destinations at greater distances from the Provider’s power  
251 generation facilities). The Provider may change its bids as often as it likes as marketplace  
252 demands for energy change or in response to competitors’ bidding activities.

253        The Moderator collects this bid information from all the Providers, sorts it according to  
254    the rules of the auction (e.g., sorting it among delivery destinations - such as the grid interfaces  
255    of local electric distribution companies serving end users), and may further process this bid  
256    information, for example, to select Providers for particular end users or resellers. This provider  
257    selection information may include, for example, a prioritization of the Provider selection in  
258    accordance with Providers' bids or the designation of a selected Provider or a default Provider.  
259        The Moderator then transmits selected portions of this information to a control computer  
260    associated with each end user or group of end users (or each reseller or group of resellers), as  
261    well as to participating Providers' energy network management centers. Each control computer  
262    gets the rate information and/or provider selection information from the Moderator that pertains  
263    to the end user or group of end users (or the reseller or group of resellers) with whom the control  
264    computer is associated. The Moderator gives each Provider bid information from other Providers  
265    for at least a portion of the end users (or resellers) in regard to which any Provider has submitted  
266    a bid.

267        A control computer may be operated by the Moderator, by an end user or reseller  
268    associated with a control computer (e.g., by the energy manager of a large industrial customer),  
269    or by the local energy distribution company that distributes energy to the end user associated  
270    with a control computer. For some end users, the Moderator will perform the functions of the  
271    control computer, perhaps using an adjunct computer to the Moderator.

272        From the list of all Providers providing bid information to the Moderator, each control  
273    computer (or the Moderator) can select those Providers from whom participating end users or  
274    resellers will be provided electric power or natural gas and can change that selection at any time.  
275        After each new bid is submitted by a Provider and is processed by the Moderator, the rate and/or

276 provider selection data will be transmitted to the relevant control computers (or retained by the  
277 Moderator if the Moderator will perform the functions of the control computer, including  
278 selecting a Provider for each set of end users or resellers) and rate information will be distributed  
279 to some or all of the Providers in order to implement the auction. A Provider, for example, may  
280 not be interested in receiving the bids of other Providers who are not active in the same  
281 geographic regions. All Providers will have the opportunity thereafter to submit a lower or  
282 higher bid for any end user (or any reseller or group of resellers) or group of end users to whom  
283 they wish to supply electric power or natural gas.

284 The Moderator (or the control computer associated with a set of end users or resellers)  
285 collects end users' actual usage data from end users' meters and processes this data to create  
286 periodic usage reports to be transmitted to Providers. If meter readings are performed by the end  
287 user's DISCO or a third-party meter reading service entity rather than the Moderator, reports of  
288 such end user's actual energy usage can be collected by the DISCO or third-party service entity  
289 and transmitted to the Moderator for processing and subsequent transmission by the Moderator  
290 to the respective Provider. The Provider, as part of managing its available capacity, can adjust  
291 its bids, for example, to create more demand for its available capacity on a spot basis, resulting in  
292 incremental revenue for the Provider that would not be achievable otherwise. These periodic  
293 usage reports can also be transmitted by the Moderator or associated control computer to the  
294 applicable end users or resellers.

295 Each Provider of electric power manages its power generation and/or power provisioning  
296 activities (e.g., buying and selling power in the wholesale markets) in response to periodic  
297 reports of end users' actual usage transmitted by the Moderator (or applicable control computer)  
298 to the selected Provider. In response to such reports, this Provider can adjust its power

299 generating or provisioning capacity to reflect higher or lower expected usage as these periodic  
300 reports are received throughout the day, month or year. Each selected Provider of natural gas  
301 manages its gas production and/or gas provisioning activities (e.g., buying and selling natural gas  
302 in the wholesale markets) in response to similar periodic reports of end users' actual usage  
303 transmitted by the Moderator (or applicable control computer) to such Provider.

304 The technology required to facilitate forward delivery transactions, in which a buyer and  
305 seller agree to the terms of a transaction today, for example, but schedule actual delivery for a  
306 future time, would be helpful to end users, resellers and Providers. The Moderator can facilitate  
307 such transactions by processing requests for end users or resellers (as buyers) for future energy  
308 supply or services to be delivered by Providers in the future. In order to provide the Moderator  
309 with sufficient information to process such a request, the buyer will enter the information  
310 describing the request on a software-derived template and transmit such information to the  
311 Moderator.

312 Through this bidding process, Providers can compete to supply electric power or natural  
313 gas to end users and resellers based on available capacity, delivery destinations, volume  
314 discounts, peak period requirements, etc. Providers can also manage their power generation, gas  
315 production and/or energy provisioning activities by adjusting their bids from time to time,  
316 depending on capacity utilization or other energy availability factors. And end users (and  
317 resellers) can easily make economic choices among competing Providers.

318

#### 319 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

320 Figure 1 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention showing shared  
321 data links between the Providers and the Moderator, between the Moderator and the control

322 computers, between the control computer and the end users (or resellers), between the Moderator  
323 and the DISCOs, between the Moderator's adjunct computer and the control computers, between  
324 the Moderator's adjunct computer and the DISCOs, and between the Moderator's adjunct  
325 computer and the Providers, and further showing dedicated communication lines between the  
326 Moderator and its adjunct computer, and the use of the public switched telephone network for  
327 communications between the Moderator's adjunct computer and end user meters.

328 Figure 2 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention showing dedicated  
329 communication lines between the Provider and the Moderator and between the Moderator and  
330 the control computers, and a shared data link between the Moderator and the DISCOs.

331 Figure 3 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention showing shared  
332 data links between the Provider and the Moderator, between the Moderator and the control  
333 computers, and between the Moderator and the DISCOs.

334 Figure 4 is a schematic representation of an exemplary method of the invention showing  
335 transmission of bids by Providers to the Moderator, processing of bids by the Moderator and  
336 transmission of Provider selection data to the control computers, selection of Providers by the  
337 respective control computers and transmission of selection notifications to the Moderator, and  
338 transmission of such notifications by the Moderator to the selected Providers and the applicable  
339 DISCOs.

340 Figure 5 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention in which the  
341 control computers transmit selection notifications directly to the selected Providers.

342 Figure 6 is a schematic representation of an exemplary method of the invention in which  
343 the control computers select Providers and transmit notifications to the Moderator, the selected  
344 Providers and the applicable DISCO.

345       Figure 7 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention in which the  
346   Moderator selects Providers and incorporates all functions otherwise performed by the respective  
347   control computers as shown in Figure 4.

348       Figure 8 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention in which the  
349   Moderator selects Providers for each set of end users and communicates with all of the Providers  
350   and the DISCOs via dedicated communication lines.

351       Figure 9 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention in which the  
352   Moderator selects Providers for each set of end users and communicates with all of the Providers  
353   and the DISCOs via shared data links.

354       Figure 10 is a schematic representation of an exemplary method of the invention in which  
355   the Moderator selects Providers for each set of end users and notifies the respective Providers  
356   and applicable DISCOs.

357       Figure 11 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention showing the use  
358   of the Internet for communications between the Moderator's adjunct computer and end user  
359   meters.

360       Figure 12 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention showing the use  
361   of a wireless communications network for communications between the Moderator's adjunct  
362   computer and end user meters.

363       Figure 13 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention showing the  
364   applicable DISCO for each set of end users collecting the meter reading data from the meters of  
365   end users participating in the auction service and transmitting such meter reading data to the  
366   Moderator's adjunct computer.

367       Figure 14 is a schematic view of an exemplary system of the invention showing third  
368       party meter reading service entities (independent of the applicable DISCOs) collecting the meter  
369       reading data from the meters of end users participating in the auction service and transmitting  
370       such meter reading data to the Moderator's adjunct computer.

371       Figure 15 is a schematic representation of an exemplary method of the invention,  
372       including a billing capability in which the Moderator can generate a bill for each end user.

373       Figure 16 is a schematic representation of an exemplary method of the invention,  
374       including a billing capability in which the applicable DISCO can generate a bill for each end  
375       user.

376

377

## 378           **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

379       The Energy Auction System ("EAS") can be made available to all end users of electric  
380       power or natural gas (and resellers of either), but will function best for those end users who have  
381       meters that can be read remotely by electronic means known in the industry (e.g., with access via  
382       public or private wired or wireless telecommunications facilities, coaxial cable facilities, power  
383       line communications access, etc., whether using circuit-switched, packet data, frame relay or  
384       asynchronous transfer mode networks or other communications facilities utilizing known  
385       technologies). An exemplary embodiment of the EAS system architecture is designed to operate  
386       as follows:

387

388       (i)       Providers transmit their most economically advantageous rates (or other economic  
389       incentives) as bids to the Moderator;



413 end users to be served) and, perhaps, copies of such notification to the end user's local  
414 energy distribution company ("DISCO") and to the respective Provider supplying power  
415 or natural gas to this end user immediately prior to the start of energy deliveries by the  
416 newly-selected Provider;

417 (viii) meters at subscribing end user sites periodically transmit reports of actual energy  
418 usage to the Moderator (or an associated adjunct computer), either directly or through the  
419 end user's DISCO or a third-party meter reading service entity;

420 (ix) the Moderator (or an associated adjunct computer) processes this meter reading  
421 data and transmits to the respective Provider (and, perhaps, also to the applicable end  
422 users or resellers) periodic reports of the actual energy used by each end user or selected  
423 group of end users (or by customers of a reseller) being supplied by that Provider;

424 (x) these usage reports may also be processed and transmitted by the Moderator to the  
425 DISCO (or an adjunct computer associated with the DISCO's power grid or gas pipeline  
426 management and/or billing systems) for each end user or group of end users (or resellers)  
427 in the service area being supplied by a specific Provider or for all end users (or resellers)  
428 in the aggregate (or any portion thereof) in that DISCO's service area, without  
429 necessarily sorting such end users (or by customers of a reseller) by their respective  
430 Providers;

431 (xi) based on such usage reports from the Moderator, each Provider can adjust the  
432 quantity of electric power or natural gas it supplies, by generation/production or  
433 otherwise, to the power grid or gas pipeline network, respectively, of the DISCO serving  
434 such end user or selected group of end users (or resellers);

435 (xii) applying the actual energy usage data received from each end user's meter and the  
436 rate (or other economic incentive) offered at the time by the winning bidder from among  
437 the participating Providers, the Moderator (or an associated adjunct computer) can  
438 prepare and transmit a billing statement for each end user or reseller to the respective  
439 Provider and to such end user or reseller (unless the Provider wishes to prepare its own  
440 billing statement for such end user); and

441 (xiii) for those end users or resellers who so elect (assuming their selected Providers  
442 agree), the Moderator can prepare and transmit to each end user or reseller a  
443 consolidated billing statement, based on the actual energy usage data received by  
444 the Moderator from that end user's meter (or the meters of end users served by  
445 that reseller) during an entire billing cycle and the winning bid data relating to all  
446 selected Providers who supplied electric power or natural gas to this end user or  
447 reseller during that billing cycle (i.e., consolidating billable charges from all  
448 Providers of electric power to such end user or reseller on one bill and  
449 consolidating billable charges from all Providers of natural gas to such end user or  
450 reseller on another bill).

451 The Moderator (or applicable control computer) will, in most cases, transmit or make  
452 available to Providers (e.g., via an on-line bulletin board or Internet website) the estimated  
453 energy requirements of the end user or group of end users (or the reseller or group of resellers) to  
454 be served before the Moderator (or control computer) makes a selection of the Provider offering  
455 the lowest rate (or best economic value) at that time to the applicable end users or resellers, in  
456 order to give the Providers more precise data on which to base their bids.

457        Transmissions by Providers of bids to the Moderator, transmissions by the Moderator of  
458    processed bid data to relevant control computers and rate information to Providers, transmissions  
459    by control computers of Provider selection notifications to the Moderator, and transmissions by  
460    the Moderator of winning bid notifications to selected Providers (and, perhaps, to the relevant  
461    DISCO) can be made via data link, dedicated facility or any private or public wired or wireless  
462    telecommunications network. Similar means can be used for transmissions by end users' meters  
463    of usage data to the Moderator, for transmissions by the Moderator (or the applicable control  
464    computer) of the periodic energy usage reports derived from such meter reading data to the  
465    Providers, the end users (or resellers) and the applicable DISCOs, and for transmissions by the  
466    Moderator to the respective Provider of billing statements the Moderator prepares for each end  
467    user or reseller.

468

469        A control computer may be operated by the Moderator, by an end user or reseller  
470    associated with a control computer (e.g., by the energy manager of a large industrial customer),  
471    or by the DISCO that distributes energy to the end user associated with a control computer.

472        End users can participate in EAS even if they do not have meters that can be remotely  
473    read by electronic means. Such end users can have their meters read by on-site visits at the end  
474    of a billing cycle (or more frequently, if necessary) and have the meter reading data transmitted  
475    to the Moderator immediately thereafter (in lieu of having a remotely-readable meter  
476    transmitting periodic energy usage reports to the Moderator). Time-of-use meters will enable  
477    EAS to accommodate many Providers for an end user during the same billing cycle (e.g., peak v.  
478    off-peak usage), but switching to any new Providers before the end of the billing cycle will not  
479    be feasible, absent an on-site visit to read the end user's meter before making such a switch. End

480 users who have meters that do not record actual energy consumed by time of use, for example,  
481 may achieve a rough approximation of time-of-use metering if participating Providers agree to  
482 bill for usage based on “usage profiling” (also referred to as “load profiling” in the electric power  
483 industry) for that customer (i.e., estimating the end user’s actual energy usage hour-by-hour,  
484 using historical usage levels related to the class of customers into which this end user fits), an  
485 approach adopted by the California PUC as part of its electric power deregulation plans.

486

487 **Bidding**

488 The Moderator will establish rules and standards under which the auction process will be  
489 conducted. Some of those rules will be set to enable the Moderator to compare competing bids  
490 on an “apples-to-apples” basis, in order to determine the best economic value being offered to  
491 end users. Bids submitted to the Moderator must conform to such rules in order to be considered  
492 by the Moderator. The auction rules may take into account such factors as the difference in the  
493 nature of electric power generation and gas supply. For example, the supply of electric power  
494 must be controlled at the point of generation, while gas is capable of being stored, the  
495 transmission pipelines themselves constituting a significant storage medium.

496 In general, the Moderator may require bidders to formulate bids based on, for example,  
497 (i) a particular period of time during which they will supply energy (e.g., the next hour or the  
498 next 12 months), (ii) a specific end user (or reseller) or a group or class of end users (or resellers)  
499 to whom they will supply energy, (iii) a stated class of service they will supply (e.g.,  
500 uninterrupted v. interruptible, high-voltage v. stepped-down service, etc.), (iv) whether they  
501 will supply 100% of an end user’s (or reseller’s) energy needs during a specified period or only  
502 supply up to a specific quantity of energy during a set period, (v) a specific delivery destination

503 (e.g., a grid or pipeline interface of the end user's DISCO at which the DISCO will accept  
504 delivery of power or natural gas, respectively, from outside suppliers), (vi) the estimated amount  
505 of the energy required on a recurring basis by each applicable end user or set of end users (or  
506 resellers), (vii) the frequency with which the bidder will receive periodic feedback reports from  
507 the Moderator of actual energy usage by the end users to whom the bidder wishes to supply  
508 energy - a function primarily of whether the end users have remotely-readable meters sending  
509 usage reports to the Moderator on a recurring basis; and (viii) whether the end user (or reseller)  
510 will be billed separately for each Provider's energy or on a consolidated basis for all Providers  
511 supplying energy to such end user (or reseller) during the same billing cycle. A Provider may  
512 wish to formulate and submit more than one bid for an end user or group of end users or resellers  
513 (e.g., some end users may require more than one class of service, others may require that electric  
514 power or natural gas be delivered to more than one location, etc.).

515       The competing Providers bid for customers by transmitting to the Moderator the  
516 economic incentive each Provider will offer for supplying energy to different end users or groups  
517 of end users (or resellers). The economic incentive presently contemplated as being most usual  
518 is the rate (amount of money charged per unit of energy). However, many other kinds of  
519 economic incentive may be offered, such as a credit toward other services (e.g., frequent flyer  
520 points) or a credit toward an additional rebate that may be offered if a user's energy usage for a  
521 given period rises above a threshold. The economic incentive could be a combination of rate and  
522 another incentive. But the economic incentive should be selected from a limited set authorized  
523 by the Moderator, because the incentive must be capable of being evaluated by the software in  
524 the Moderator or its associated adjunct computer. Each bid is associated with a time period  
525 within which the bid will be effective.

526        The rules of the bidding process related to such time periods can be structured in many  
527    ways. The following are examples of such possible bidding rules:

528            (a) The day is divided into blocks of time by the Moderator and bids are submitted for  
529    each block of time. All bids for a given block of time must be submitted prior to a cut-off time  
530    that precedes that block of time by a protection interval. Any bid received after the cut-off time  
531    is considered to be effective for the next block of time, unless a new bid is subsequently received  
532    from the same Provider that would be applicable to the same end user or group of end users (or  
533    resellers). The protection interval applicable to bids to supply electric power, for example, is  
534    needed to permit all of the following actions to take place prior to the bid starting time: (i)  
535    processing of the bid information by the Moderator and transmission to the relevant control  
536    computer; (ii) selection of the winning bidder by the appropriate control computer and  
537    transmission of that selection back to the Moderator; (iii) the subsequent transmission of a  
538    selection notification to the selected Provider (or its associated adjunct computer) and, perhaps,  
539    to the DISCO serving the applicable end user or group of end users; and (iv) the scheduling of  
540    the power to be delivered by the selected Provider with the power grid controller(s) between the  
541    point of the Provider's generating facility (or the point at which the Provider takes title to any  
542    purchased power to be delivered to the end user) and the grid interface of the end user's DISCO.  
543    For example, if one hour blocks of time are auctioned, a 30 to 60 minute protection interval may  
544    be appropriate. The protection interval applicable to bids to supply natural gas may be much  
545    longer due to the relatively slow speed at which natural gas can be transported (when compared  
546    to that for newly-generated electric power).

547            (b) Providers are permitted to submit bids for any time interval by specifying a start  
548    time and a termination time. However, no bid can be effective before a protection time interval

549 specified by the bidding service provider. The Moderator provides confirmation of received bids  
550 back to the Provider if the data link from the Moderator to the Providers is provided with a  
551 selective messaging capability.

552 (c) Providers may be permitted to enter default bids for any block of time for which they  
553 transmit no other bid.

554 (d) As a fail-safe mechanism, to avoid use of old bids that have not been changed due to  
555 communication failure, the Moderator may impose a rule setting a time limit (a fail-safe  
556 protection time) to the applicability of any bid. At the expiration of the time limit, the expired  
557 bid could default to a preset default bid or to no bid. Such a rule could also be built into a  
558 Provider's adjunct computer to protect against a failure in the Moderator-to-Provider data link.

559 In formulating a bid, a Provider will typically need to know the location of the end user's  
560 facility to which energy will ultimately be delivered. More particularly, in most cases a Provider  
561 must know in which DISCO's service area the end user's facility is located and, perhaps, in  
562 which specific section of the DISCO's service area that facility is situated. Under most electric  
563 power deregulation efforts to date, for example, a Provider will be required to pay open access  
564 transmission fees to transport its power from its point of generation (or the point at which the  
565 Provider took title to the power, if it was purchased in the wholesale market) to the interface at  
566 which the end user's DISCO accepts power from outside suppliers. For the last leg of the  
567 transmission path, from the DISCO's outside interface to the specific section of the DISCO's  
568 power grid within which the end user is located, the provider will generally be required to pay a  
569 "retail wheeling" fee to the DISCO. This fee may vary depending on which specific section of  
570 the DISCO's power grid is the destination for the power to be delivered by the Provider. All of  
571 these transmission and wheeling charges would be expected to be incorporated in any bid

572 submitted by a Provider to the Moderator. For end users with facilities at more than one location  
573 (and, perhaps, situated in different DISCOs' service areas), the Moderator can accommodate the  
574 submission of composite bids by Providers, formulated by the bidders to cover some or all of  
575 such locations.

576 To give bidders more precise data on which to base their bids, the Moderator can provide  
577 bidders with historical usage profile information for participating end users or groups of end  
578 users. The Moderator can update such historical information on a continuing basis to assure  
579 bidders they have current and reliable data. An end user who is a new subscriber to EAS may be  
580 required to furnish the Moderator with at least 30 days and as much as 24 months of historical  
581 usage data before the Moderator permits that end user to participate in the auction. Depending  
582 on the transmission and computer technologies used, transmissions by the Moderator (or the  
583 applicable control computer) to the Providers could also be accomplished by, for example,  
584 posting the historical usage profile information on an Internet website, bulletin board system or  
585 other similar facility, making them available for retrieval by all Providers.

586 The transmission of bidding data from the Moderator to each of the Providers is essential  
587 for the auction to function most effectively. This feedback permits the Providers to adjust their  
588 own bids for any particular end user or group of end users in view of other Providers' bids for  
589 that same end user or group. In a block of time bidding scheme, this transmission may take  
590 place, in different service offerings, either before or after the bid cutoff time for a given block of  
591 time. If transmitted before the cutoff time, the Providers have an opportunity, up to the cutoff  
592 time, to adjust their bids for that block of time. If the service is arranged for transmission of such  
593 data back to the Providers after the cutoff time, the Providers can adjust their bids for the next or  
594 subsequent blocks of time. If the bids are transmitted back to the Providers after the cutoff time

595 but before the bid's effective time, the Providers would be able to manage their power  
596 generation, gas production and/or energy provisioning activities to take account of that time  
597 interval's bid structure. The bids can be adjusted to be higher or lower, depending on whether  
598 the Provider wishes to further encourage or discourage additional energy delivery commitments.  
599 The Provider may wish to reduce its bid, for example, to stimulate additional delivery  
600 commitments or increase its bid to discourage additional commitments. Depending on the  
601 transmission and computer technologies used, transmissions by the Moderator (or the applicable  
602 control computer) to the Providers could also be accomplished, for example, by posting the bids  
603 on an Internet website, bulletin board system or other similar facility, making them available for  
604 retrieval by all Providers.

605         Depending on the particular implementation of the auction, it may be appropriate to  
606 transmit all received bids to all Providers. However, each Provider's own bids need not always  
607 be transmitted back to it and there may be Providers who focus, for example, on certain delivery  
608 destinations or certain classes of end users (or resellers) and are not interested in seeing bids  
609 from Providers serving other delivery destinations or end users (or resellers). In any event, at  
610 least a portion of the bids are transmitted to at least a portion of the Providers in order to  
611 implement an auction.

612         The bid information being transmitted between the Moderator and the Providers is  
613 sensitive business information and may need, under various circumstances, to be encrypted.  
614 Depending on how the service is arranged, there may be a need to protect the privacy of bids  
615 from interception by other participating Providers or from interception by non-participating  
616 Providers. Some of the most sensitive information would be bid information sent from the  
617 Providers to the Moderator and bid confirmation messages from the Moderator to the Providers.

618 Some less sensitive information would be the bids transmitted back to participating Providers  
619 after the cutoff time for a given block of time. There are several encryption schemes known in  
620 the art for such use, including the RSA and PGP schemes.

621 To reduce the exposure of end users to the potential volatility of prices offered via the  
622 auction, EAS will allow default Providers to participate. If, for example, prices bid in the  
623 auction rise above a fixed upset price previously agreed to by the default Provider, the relevant  
624 control computer (or the Moderator) will select the default Provider as the winning bidder. The  
625 Moderator may negotiate with one or more Providers to serve as default Providers for EAS. In  
626 the alternative, an end user or group of end users (or a reseller) may wish to specify to the  
627 Moderator that a particular Provider be designated as that end user's or reseller's default  
628 Provider (e.g., a Provider who has entered into a contract with the end user to supply a  
629 significant portion of that end user's electric power or natural gas needs outside of the auction  
630 process).

631 The Moderator can accommodate end users (and resellers) who wish to limit the group of  
632 Providers from whom the Moderator will evaluate bids when a Provider is to be selected to  
633 supply energy to such end users (or customers of such resellers). An end user (or reseller) may  
634 wish to instruct the Moderator (or the administrator of the control computer associated with such  
635 end user) that energy be supplied to that end user only by Providers specified by that end user (or  
636 reseller). The Moderator, in compliance with this instruction, would include the bids of only this  
637 set of specified Providers when generating provider selection data in regard to such end users. In  
638 the alternative, this instruction by the end user can also be implemented at the control computer  
639 associated with that end user.

640       EAS can also accommodate those end users or resellers who wish to employ a strategy of  
641    purchasing power or natural gas at the lower of the bid price in the auction or the price they  
642    agreed to pay a contract Provider under a term contract. This contract price would be transmitted  
643    by the end user or reseller to the Moderator (or the applicable control computer) and the  
644    Moderator (or control computer) would include this contract price among the bids it evaluates  
645    when generating provider selection data in regard to each such end user or reseller. If the  
646    contract price is lower than all of the other bids, the relevant control computer (or the Moderator)  
647    would select the contract Provider as the Provider of choice for that end user or reseller. If the  
648    contract price is higher than any of the other bids, the low bidder would be selected as the  
649    winning Provider. The contract price serves as a ceiling while the end user or reseller can still  
650    capture the benefit of low prices made available via the auction (e.g., at night when system-wide  
651    demands for power are lower than during peak daytime periods). To ensure that this end user or  
652    reseller can satisfy the volume commitments that would likely be part of any attractively-priced  
653    contract, the Moderator could enable this end user or reseller to designate from time to time (e.g.,  
654    during certain peak demand daytime hours) that the contract price is to be treated as the low bid  
655    available to that end user or reseller at that time. At other times the Moderator will consider all  
656    bids submitted by other Providers as well as the contract price.

657       Most bidders participating in the auction would be expected to supply 100% of the  
658    electric power or natural gas needed by the end users for whom these bidders are selected as the  
659    current Provider. Some bidders, however, may wish to submit bids to supply a fixed quantity of  
660    power or natural gas to an end user or group of end users (or resellers) during a particular period  
661    of time, rather than commit to supply 100% of the power or natural gas this end user needs or  
662    actually consumes. The Moderator can accommodate this type of bid by prescribing standard

663 units or blocks of power or natural gas that Providers can use when formulating such bids. The  
664 Moderator would consider such bids only for end users (or resellers) who wish to participate and  
665 only as part of an auction process in which the bids compared are those for identical units or  
666 blocks of power or natural gas. In the event that insufficient units or blocks of energy are offered,  
667 the Moderator could again rely on a default Provider, either for 100% of the end user's or  
668 reseller's energy requirements or only for the shortfall needed.

669 An end user or reseller could, under this approach, have more than one Provider  
670 delivering power or natural gas to its facilities (or those of a reseller's customers) during the  
671 same period of time. For example, a large end user with a need for 1000 kilowatts of power  
672 during every hour between 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, elects to participate  
673 in EPAS under the above unit or power block approach. Four Providers submit bids to supply  
674 (in order of the lowest-priced bids first) 600, 200, 200 and 500 kilowatts of power for the period  
675 between 9:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m. each day. The relevant control computer (or the Moderator)  
676 selects the three Providers who bid 600, 200 and 200, respectively, on the basis of their low bids  
677 and the amount of power offered.

678 In another example of the auction using units or blocks of power or natural gas, the  
679 auction rules might specify that only one Provider (and, perhaps, a default Provider to cover any  
680 shortfall) will be selected for each end user or reseller from among those bidding to supply  
681 blocks of power. In that event, in order to make its selection of a Provider for each end user or  
682 reseller, the control computer (or the Moderator) would only consider bids to supply blocks of  
683 power or natural gas of sufficient size to fulfill 100% of the end user's or reseller's projected  
684 power or natural gas requirements or, at the election of the end user or reseller, some lesser  
685 quantity of power or natural gas previously specified by the end user or reseller, with the

686 shortfall to be covered by the default Provider. Under a block bidding approach, the end user or  
687 reseller would likely be committed to a take-or-pay obligation with each of the partial Providers,  
688 including the default Provider covering any shortfall. Because electric power is fungible, as is  
689 natural gas, the end user's meter would not be able to distinguish whether the electric power or  
690 natural gas supplied by one Provider was consumed in its entirety while another Provider's  
691 supply was not. This unit or block approach would probably be practical only for those large  
692 users or resellers who can control with some precision how much power or natural gas they (or  
693 their end user customers, if a reseller) consume at any time or have highly predictable usage  
694 profiles on a recurring basis.

695 If a Provider is selected as the winning bidder, the Provider will be responsible to  
696 schedule the delivery of its power or natural gas to the end user's DISCO during the period  
697 stipulated. For example, such a selected Provider of electric power will notify the regional grid  
698 controllers of the utility grids between the provider's point of generation, and the grid interface  
699 of the end user's DISCO that the Provider intends to ship power over their power grids. The  
700 Provider will likely aggregate the quantity of power it needs to deliver to each DISCO for the  
701 Provider's end users in that DISCO's service area and arrange for its delivery as part of the same  
702 scheduling activity. Resellers, traders and brokers are constantly engaged today in scheduling  
703 power and natural gas deliveries as part of their routine daily activities in the wholesale electric  
704 power market.

705

706 Monitoring Usage - Feedback to Providers

707 Once the Provider has been selected, the Moderator (or applicable control computer) can  
708 monitor the actual energy consumed by each end user by collecting meter readings from the

709 meter or meters at the end user's facilities. Most meters with remote reading capability today  
710 can transmit usage reports to the Moderator every 15 minutes, if necessary. Industry experts  
711 expect meters to be available soon that will enable almost continuous (i.e., near real time)  
712 reporting of energy consumption.

713         Depending on the type of end user or reseller and the needs of the Provider (and, perhaps,  
714 the end user's DISCO), the frequency at which actual usage reports should be fed back to the  
715 selected Provider or DISCO will vary. For example, very large users of electric power can create  
716 temporary imbalances in the local power grid and contribute to meaningful fluctuations in the  
717 aggregate amount of power required to be supplied by a selected Provider to meet the needs of  
718 all of its customers in a particular service area. The DISCO for that end user will also want to  
719 obtain timely usage information in order to manage such imbalances on its local grid effectively.  
720 Frequent meter readings would be desirable for this type of customer. On the other hand,  
721 residential customers as a group have fairly predictable usage profile patterns and would require  
722 much less frequent monitoring. The Moderator will process and transmit such actual usage  
723 reports at such frequencies as are specified in the auction rules, with reasonable exceptions  
724 accommodated at the request of the selected Provider or DISCO. In addition, to facilitate such  
725 end user's or reseller's energy management efforts, the Moderator (or applicable control  
726 computer) can also transmit actual energy usage data (with or without current information on bid  
727 prices) on a periodic basis back to the end user or reseller (to be received by the end user's meter  
728 or such other terminal equipment as the end user or reseller may designate) or, in the alternative,  
729 the Moderator (or applicable control computer) can transmit such data to an electronic mail  
730 address or Internet website designated by the end user or reseller.

731        When meter readings are received by the Moderator or applicable control computer, as  
732    the case may be, it will process the actual energy usage data collected, first sorting it by end user  
733    (and, if appropriate, by reseller) and then, perhaps, aggregating this data by Provider for each  
734    delivery destination this Provider serves. A delivery destination for power may be the grid  
735    interface at which the end user's DISCO accepts power from outside suppliers or the section of  
736    the power grid within the DISCO's service area in which the end user is located or at the grid  
737    interface designated by the reseller, if applicable. A delivery destination for natural gas may be  
738    the interface on its regional pipeline network at which it accepts natural gas from outside  
739    suppliers. The Moderator can then transmit to each Provider the applicable aggregated usage  
740    data (as well as usage data on individual end users or groups of end users or resellers) if the  
741    Provider so elects. Relying on this energy usage data, the Provider can determine whether to  
742    increase or decrease the aggregate amount of power or natural gas it delivers to each delivery  
743    destination. The more frequent the energy usage feedback from the Moderator, the more  
744    efficient the Provider can become, eventually optimizing its generating or production capacity  
745    and/or energy provisioning activities (i.e., its buying and selling of power or natural gas in the  
746    wholesale markets).

747        For those end users without remotely-readable meters, the Moderator will be unable to  
748    collect periodic reports of actual energy usage more often than once a month, typically, unless  
749    more frequent on-site visits are scheduled than is generally the practice in the industry today.  
750    Feedback from these reports, once they are processed by the Moderator, will be transmitted to  
751    the applicable Provider on the same monthly basis. As a result, Providers will be more limited in  
752    their ability to react in response to such feedback by adjusting the quantity of electric power or  
753    natural gas they supply at any time to the power grid or gas pipeline network, respectively, of the

754 end user's DISCO. Providers will have fewer opportunities to make optional and efficient use of  
755 their generating or production capacity and/or energy provisioning activities. In contrast, if these  
756 end users were to install remotely-readable meters, the Moderator could collect meter readings  
757 once an hour (or more frequently, if desired) and feed back the processed data to the respective  
758 Provider shortly after receiving it, permitting Providers to make frequent adjustments in the  
759 amount of power or natural gas supplied, optimizing their capacity and provisioning activities on  
760 a continuing basis. End users with such remotely-readable meters should be more attractive  
761 customers for Providers and, as a result, realize economic benefits not offered to other end users.

762 As deregulation progresses, state PUCs will determine whether any DISCOs will retain  
763 their monopoly over meter reading. The California PUC has already indicated that electric  
764 power DISCOs in that state will lose their exclusive right to read end user's meters. It appears  
765 increasingly likely that most state PUCs will reach a similar conclusion in order to give new  
766 Providers a reasonable chance to compete with the incumbent utility (since, in most states, each  
767 DISCO will be affiliated with its own power generating entity as a direct competitor to other  
768 Providers). However, in those states in which DISCOs retain their meter-reading monopoly, the  
769 Moderator may arrange with the DISCO for periodic transmissions to the Moderator of actual  
770 energy usage data collected from the meter of each end user subscribing to EAS. In a similar  
771 fashion, in those states where the PUC will permit third-party meter reading service entities  
772 (independent of the DISCO or any of the Providers) to read end users' meters, the Moderator  
773 may arrange with this third-party service to obtain actual energy usage data for each EAS  
774 subscriber. In the alternative, the applicable DISCO or third-party meter reading service entity  
775 may transmit these periodic usage reports directly to the applicable Providers with copies,  
776 perhaps, transmitted to the Moderator.

777        In those jurisdictions where the DISCO does not read the meters of EAS subscribers, the  
778 Moderator can provide the DISCO with meaningful usage data feedback to enable the DISCO to  
779 manage its local power grid or gas pipeline network efficiently. The Moderator can process the  
780 meter reading data it receives from other sources (e.g., remotely-readable meters transmitting  
781 energy usage data directly to the Moderator or the applicable control computer or third-party  
782 meter reading services transmitting the results of their readings to the Moderator's adjunct  
783 computer) and transmit to the DISCO periodic reports of actual energy usage by each end user or  
784 group of end users (or resellers) in the DISCO's service area, sorted by their respective  
785 Providers. The Moderator may also transmit to the DISCO such energy usage data for all end  
786 users and/or resellers in the aggregate (or any portion thereof) in that DISCO's service area,  
787 without sorting such end users or resellers by their respective Providers.

788

789        Billing

790        Billing under this disclosed invention could be handled, for example, by one of three  
791 methods: (i) by the Moderator applying the historical bid data to the energy used by each end  
792 user or group of end users served by a reseller), as recorded by the meter of such end user,  
793 without necessarily requiring the participation of the end user's DISCO in the billing process, (ii)  
794 by the DISCO reporting the energy usage data of each end user to the Moderator (if the DISCO  
795 performs meter readings for end users who are EAS subscribers or customers of a reseller  
796 participating in EAS), and the Moderator then creating a bill by applying the appropriate bid  
797 rates to the quantities of energy used while those bids applied, sorted by the selected Providers,  
798 or (iii) by the Moderator supplying historical bid data to the DISCO's billing computer for the  
799 period coinciding with the end user's or reseller's billing cycle, and the DISCO's billing

800 computer then creating a bill by applying the appropriate bid rates to the quantities of energy  
801 used while those bids applied, sorted by the selected Providers. A third-party meter reading  
802 service entity instead of the DISCO could collect energy usage data and transmit that usage data  
803 to the Moderator for the Moderator to create a bill for each end user or reseller. In the  
804 alternative, the third-party meter reading service could use the energy usage data it collects,  
805 together with the Moderator's historical bid data, to create such a bill.

806 Under one such method, the Moderator (or applicable control computer) will receive  
807 actual energy usage reports from each end user's meter on a periodic basis, as part of the  
808 Moderator's role as an intermediary between end users (or resellers) and Providers (and, perhaps  
809 to some extent, between end users and their local DISCO). These meter reading reports will  
810 provide the Moderator with the quantity of electric power or natural gas actually consumed by  
811 the end user during each period measured and recorded by the meter. Periods as short as 15  
812 minutes (and even shorter in the future) can be measured by meters with time-of-use features.  
813 Such meters will enable the Moderator to determine the precise amount of power or natural gas  
814 supplied to an end user by each of many Providers during the same billing cycle. For end users  
815 without time-of-use meters today (i.e., many small businesses and most residential customers),  
816 the Moderator can employ usage profiling to estimate actual energy usage from period to period  
817 (e.g., hourly).

818 Bid information submitted by participating Providers to the Moderator in the course of  
819 the auction will be stored for a period of time by the Moderator in its database (or that of an  
820 associated adjunct computer). The Moderator will also record and store in its database the  
821 identity of the Provider(s) selected to supply power or natural gas to each end user or group of  
822 end users during any billing cycle.

823        With the relevant bid price of the selected Provider and the actual energy usage data for  
824    the period this Provider supplied power or natural gas to an end user (or to customers served by a  
825    reseller), the Moderator can prepare a billing statement for that end user (or reseller) and each of  
826    its Providers during a billing cycle. Interim statements, covering any period within the billing  
827    cycle, can also be prepared by the Moderator. Billing statements, whether for the entire billing  
828    cycle or any interim periods, can be transmitted by the Moderator to the end user (or reseller) or  
829    the applicable Provider (or an adjunct computer associated with the Provider's billing system).

830        Some Providers may wish to prepare and deliver their own billing statement for each end  
831    user or reseller, assuming the end user or reseller is willing to bear the inconvenience of multiple  
832    bills for electric power, for example, covering the same monthly billing cycle (i.e., if more than  
833    one Provider supplies power to this end user or reseller during that month). Using the energy  
834    usage data collected by the Moderator (or DISCO) for each end user (or group of end users  
835    served by a reseller) and transmitted periodically to the Provider, that Provider could apply its  
836    appropriate bid rate to such actual usage in order to render a bill for each such end user or  
837    reseller. As an alternative that most end users or resellers would likely find more palatable, the  
838    Moderator can install data links or electronic interfaces between such Providers' billing systems  
839    and the Moderator's billing computer, enabling each Provider to transmit billing information it  
840    prepared for each end user or reseller to the Moderator. After receiving such billing data from  
841    each Provider, the Moderator's billing computer can collate the Providers' data into a single  
842    integrated bill for the end user or reseller.

843        The end user's DISCO may continue basing its tariffed service charges to end users on  
844    the total quantity of power or natural gas consumed during the billing cycle and, for larger  
845    customers, the peak demand for power or natural gas from each customer. If the Moderator or

846 applicable control computer(instead of the DISCO) is collecting actual usage data from end  
847 users' meters, the Moderator can transmit regular reports to the DISCO showing actual energy  
848 usage for any period measured by each end user's meter, including both the total energy  
849 consumed during the billing cycle (or such other period requested by the DISCO) and the peak  
850 demand for power or natural gas from the end user, on an average or absolute basis.

851 Under most states' deregulation plans, as described above, PUCs are expected to give  
852 Providers the right to read meters directly and not be required to depend on the local DISCO to  
853 perform this function. In addition, some states are expected to permit independent firms to  
854 provide meter reading and billing services to Providers, end users and DISCOs. The Moderator  
855 could collect actual energy usage reports from such third-party service entities and prepare  
856 billing statements for each end user or reseller and each of the selected Providers supplying  
857 power or natural gas to that end user (or the group of end users served by that reseller) during a  
858 billing cycle. Interim statements could also be prepared by the Moderator. In either case, the  
859 Moderator could transmit such billing statements to Providers, the end user or reseller and, if  
860 necessary, the end user's DISCO.

861 In any jurisdiction where the PUC or other regulatory authority permits the DISCO to  
862 retain the exclusive right to read end users' meters, the Moderator will arrange to receive the  
863 relevant meter reading data from the DISCO. To produce a billing statement for each end user  
864 and the applicable Provider, the Moderator can process the usage data received from the DISCO  
865 and match it up with the selected Providers' appropriate bid data stored in the Moderator's  
866 database. Again, the Moderator can transmit billing statements to the end user or reseller and  
867 each of the selected Providers. Such statements can cover the entire billing cycle or any interim  
868 period.

869        For the convenience of end users or resellers, the Moderator can prepare a billing  
870 statement that consolidates all of the end user's electric power or natural gas consumption (or, if  
871 for a reseller, covering all of the end users served by that reseller) for the billing cycle and all of  
872 the charges levied during that period by all of the selected Providers for that end user or reseller  
873 (i.e., with one bill for electric power and another for natural gas). Each Provider would receive  
874 from the Moderator only the portion of this billing statement that related to the power or natural  
875 gas supplied by that Provider.

876        To facilitate the entry of an end user or reseller (in either case a "Buyer") into a forward  
877 delivery transaction with a Provider (or a reseller of another Provider's energy supplies or  
878 services), the Moderator will accommodate requests for future energy supply or services (an  
879 "RFS") from a Buyer. A "forward delivery transaction" is a purchase transaction in which a  
880 Buyer and a Provider (or a reseller of another Provider's energy supplies or services) agree on all  
881 material terms of the transaction at the time that transaction is entered into, but delivery by the  
882 Provider of the energy supply or service purchased by the Buyer is scheduled for a future time.  
883 That future delivery may be set for any specific delivery time in the future (for example, seconds,  
884 minutes, hours, days, weeks, months or years, or any combination thereof, after the time the  
885 transaction was entered into by the parties). In the context of this application, "delivery" means  
886 the Provider has made available to the Buyer, either via a direct or indirect transmission by the  
887 Provider to an appropriate interface with the local energy grid or pipeline servicing the premises  
888 equipment of the Buyer (or, if the Buyer is a reseller, to the designated interface with the grid or  
889 pipeline serving the reseller's end user customers) or some other interface specified by the  
890 Buyer, such purchase having occurred at the time the terms of the transaction (under which  
891 delivery is being made) were agreed to by the Buyer and the Provider.

892        Figures 1 and 7 illustrate exemplary systems for carrying out the herein disclosed forward  
893    delivery transaction process. A Buyer formulates an RFS and the Buyer's computer 85,86  
894    transmits this RFS to the control computer 8 associated with this Buyer over a data link or other  
895    telecommunications facility 87, and from the control computer to the Moderator 1 over data link  
896    7, as illustrated in Figure 1. The Buyer's computer 85,86 can, in the alternative as illustrated in  
897    Figure 7, transmit the RFS directly to the Moderator via data link 88 and the Moderator can  
898    incorporate any or all of the functions of the control computer. In order to provide the control  
899    computer and/or the Moderator with sufficient information to process the RFS, the Buyer enters  
900    the information describing the RFS on a software-derived template including, for example, the  
901    delivery destination of the energy to be supplied. This template may reside, for example, on a  
902    computer bulletin board or website maintained by the Moderator (or the applicable control  
903    computer) and accessible to Buyer.

904        The software-derived template may call for such things as: (i) the relevant future period  
905    for which service is being requested (e.g., one or more specific hours, days, weeks or months, or  
906    any combination thereof), (ii) the quantity of energy required (e.g., kilowatt hours, megawatt  
907    hours, cubic feet, etc.), (iii) any minimum quality criteria, (iv) the Buyer's load profile, perhaps  
908    with historical energy usage information, and/or (v) any other elements necessary to provide  
909    prospective Providers with a precise description of the future energy supplies or services the  
910    Buyer is requesting and the specific delivery criteria required by the Buyer.

911

912        In many cases, the Buyer may wish to include in the RFS the maximum price it is willing  
913    to pay a Provider for the energy supply or service requested (e.g., per kilowatt hour or megawatt  
914    hour of electricity or per cubic foot of gas, etc.). If the Buyer so specifies, the applicable control

915 computer or the Moderator could use this maximum price as part of the selection process without  
916 necessarily disclosing it to prospective Providers. If no Providers submit RFS responses with  
917 prices at or below the Buyer's maximum price, the control computer or the Moderator could  
918 discard all of the responses and let the Buyer decide whether it will increase the maximum price  
919 and resubmit the RFS, it will abandon the RFS process altogether, or it will wait and resubmit  
920 the RFS again later with its previous maximum price. The Buyer could also be given the  
921 opportunity by the control computer or the Moderator to accept a price higher than the maximum  
922 price set by the Buyer as part of the RFS.

923 At any time prior to the Buyer's transmission of its RFS to the applicable control  
924 computer or the Moderator (or as part of such transmission) and/or the processing of the RFS by  
925 the control computer or the Moderator, the Buyer may transmit to the control computer or the  
926 Moderator a set of decision rules applicable to any particular RFS (or group of RFS's) or to  
927 every RFS submitted by the Buyer – to be applied by the control computer or the Moderator as  
928 part of the Provider selection process. For example, if the Buyer wishes to limit the group of  
929 Providers from whom it is willing to purchase energy, the Buyer can communicate that  
930 preference to the Moderator, either as part of the RFS transmission or as part of a previous  
931 transmission to the Moderator. In this event the Moderator will make the RFS information  
932 available only to that group of Providers preferred by the Buyer. Responses to the RFS from  
933 other Providers, if any are inadvertently received, will be discarded by the Moderator.

934 Once the applicable control computer or the Moderator receives the Buyer's RFS, the  
935 control computer or the Moderator further processes the information submitted and converts the  
936 RFS into a format that the Moderator can transmit to prospective Providers' computers or post  
937 on a computer bulletin board or website accessible by prospective Providers. This distribution or

posting may occur immediately after the RFS has been received and processed by the control computer or the Moderator, or at some later time (e.g., according to a designated schedule each day). In most cases, we would expect that the Moderator would not reveal the identity of the Buyer to the prospective Providers while the RFS is pending. Those prospective Providers wishing to respond to the RFS will each formulate its response, enter it (for example) on a software-derived template (which could reside in one embodiment on a computer bulletin board or website maintained by Moderator and accessible by the Provider) and transmit it to the Moderator via data link or other shared or dedicated telecommunications facility.

Each Provider may be given the opportunity to limit the list of Buyers to whom the Provider is willing to sell energy supplies or services, and/or limit the energy supplies or services the Provider is willing to make available to any particular Buyer within one or more billing cycles (e.g., to reduce the Provider's credit exposure to that Buyer). The Moderator and/or each control computer can maintain each Provider's list of approved Buyers, with or without applicable credit or capacity limits. Updates can be transmitted by each Provider to the Moderator at periodic intervals. If, for any reason, the Buyer's identity is revealed in the RFS information disclosed to prospective Providers, each Provider can elect whether to respond to the RFS. If a Provider were to respond to that RFS, any previous credit or capacity limitations imposed by that Provider on that Buyer might be deemed set aside, at least for that RFS-related transaction.

The Moderator (or the applicable control computer) could also compare a Buyer's RFS information to data submitted to the Moderator by a prospective Provider before this RFS was distributed or posted, assuming the Provider had indicated, for example, its available energy supply and the price at which it would sell energy to any pre-approved Buyer. If such a

961 Provider's available energy supply and pricing matched the requirements of a Buyer as specified  
962 in the Buyer's RFS, the Moderator (or control computer) could include this Provider as one of  
963 the respondents to the RFS, notwithstanding the fact that the Provider did not respond to the RFS  
964 after it was distributed or posted. As an alternative at some time in the future, if and when  
965 Providers become more comfortable posting data on their available energy supplies or services  
966 with the Moderator before an RFS is posted, the Moderator (or control computer) could use these  
967 pre-RFS submissions by Providers as the primary or exclusive source of responses to the RFS.

968 When the Moderator distributes or posts an RFS, prospective Providers will typically be  
969 given a deadline or cut-off time by which they must respond to the Moderator. Any responses  
970 received by the Moderator after the cut-off time will likely be discarded. From among the  
971 responses received on a timely basis, the applicable control computer or the Moderator selects  
972 the Provider offering the best economic value to the Buyer, after applying the Buyer's decision  
973 rules, if any, and any additional determination criteria governing like transactions and known  
974 beforehand by both Buyers and Providers (e.g., historical performance by each Provider,  
975 sufficiency of energy supplied by each Provider to the DISCO serving Buyers in that region,  
976 etc.).

977 To provide Buyers with the assurance that at least one Provider will be available to  
978 supply them with energy at a reasonable price, the control computer administrator or the  
979 Moderator may arrange for a default Provider from whom energy can be obtained under any of  
980 several scenarios (for example, if the prices offered by bidding Providers rise above a ceiling  
981 price specified by the Buyer).

982 The Buyer can also provide the applicable control computer or the Moderator with a  
983 decision rule that directs the control computer or the Moderator to select a particular Provider,

984 regardless of how many other Providers respond to the Buyer's RFS or the attractiveness of the  
985 economic incentives they offer. This approach enables the Buyer to purchase its energy needs,  
986 for example, from a specific Provider with whom the Buyer may have an existing contract  
987 relationship pursuant to which the Buyer is committed to purchase from that Provider a certain  
988 volume or proportion of its energy needs. This decision rule may be operative based on one or  
989 more criteria, for example, time of day, quality criteria, destination, etc. In some cases, the  
990 Buyer may specify a decision rule that a certain Provider is to be selected unless prices offered  
991 by one or more other Providers are substantially better (e.g., 20% lower) than that offered by the  
992 otherwise preferred Provider. With this flexibility, the Buyer can take advantage of attractive  
993 prices and other benefits offered in the spot market without giving up the reliability and price  
994 stability offered by a term contract relationship with a primary Provider. The control computer  
995 or the Moderator could then choose the Buyer's primary term contract Provider as the selected  
996 Provider when appropriate under the decision rules set by Buyer.

997           Once the control computer or the Moderator selects a Provider to supply energy or  
998 services to a Buyer, the Buyer and that Provider are so notified by the control computer or the  
999 Moderator via electronic transmission. In most cases this may also be the point at which the  
1000 selected Provider first learns the identity of the Buyer, unless the Buyer has given the control  
1001 computer or the Moderator permission to reveal the Buyer's identity to the Providers as part of  
1002 the RFS disclosure.

1003           After the selection of the winning Provider has been made, the Moderator will transmit,  
1004 to some or all of the Providers who respond to the RFS, at least some of the bidding data  
1005 submitted by responding Providers (most likely without revealing the identity of the winning

1006 Provider or that of the Buyer). This feedback will enable the losing Providers to adjust their bids  
1007 on the next RFS distributed to them by the Moderator.

1008 If the Buyer and all of the prospective Providers (within the Buyer's preferred group of  
1009 Providers) agree, or the rules under which the Moderator operates the bidding process so state  
1010 and the Buyers and Providers still decide to participate, the Moderator could provide feedback to  
1011 all bidding Providers of some or all of the prices bid by the different Providers in response to any  
1012 RFS (most likely without revealing the identity of the winning Provider or that of the Buyer).

1013 This feedback would enable the Providers, while the bidding for a particular RFS is in progress  
1014 and before a winner is selected, to adjust their bids and submit amended responses to the  
1015 Moderator.

1016 The Moderator (or the control computer) may also provide to the Buyer, before or after  
1017 the Moderator (or control computer) selects the winning Provider, at least some of the bidding  
1018 data from some or all of the Providers responding to the Buyer's RFS.

1019 Once the Moderator (or control computer) has selected a winning Provider for the  
1020 Buyer's RFS, the Moderator (or control computer) will transmit all or a portion of the transaction  
1021 information to an Adjunct Computer via data link or other dedicated or shared  
1022 telecommunications facility. This Adjunct Computer further processes the transaction  
1023 information in order to process the energy usage data it receives from the meters of the end users  
1024 to be supplied by this winning Provider.

1025 This transmission of processed energy usage data can be initiated by a query from the  
1026 Moderator or the applicable control computer to the Adjunct Computer or can be downloaded at  
1027 periodic intervals by the Adjunct Computer to the Moderator or the control computer.

1028        All of the functions of the Adjunct Computer can be performed by the Moderator, if use  
1029        of an adjunct computer is not deemed advantageous for any reason.

1030        The Moderator and/or the control computer can communicate with one or more adjunct  
1031        computers, which each can communicate with one or more end user meters. In the alternative,  
1032        the Moderator can communicate directly with one or more end user meters via a data link or  
1033        other shared or dedicated telecommunications facility.

1034        Different types of energy services (e.g., power quality and other ancillary services) as  
1035        well as energy supplies may be provided by any Provider to any Buyer. The term “Provider”  
1036        includes any seller or reseller of energy supply or services, regardless of whether that seller or  
1037        reseller owns or operates any energy generation, production, transmission or distribution  
1038        equipment or facilities.

1039        References herein to “data links” or other shared or dedicated telecommunications  
1040        facilities may, for example, include any wireline or wireless facilities, whether part of the public  
1041        switched telephone network, private lines, the Internet, coaxial cable, electric utility power lines,  
1042        Ethernet or other local area network (LAN), metropolitan area network (MAN) or wide area  
1043        network (WAN) connections.

1044        Some Buyers may elect to submit an RFS that includes more than one request for future  
1045        energy supply of services, e.g., one RFS specifying several delivery destinations, each with the  
1046        same or different (i) future periods for which energy supply or services are being requested, (ii)  
1047        quantities of energy required, (iii) quality criteria, (iv) load profiles at each destination, and/or  
1048        (v) additional energy services to be provided. This composite RFS may also be submitted, for  
1049        example, for the same delivery destination, but for different future periods. The Buyer may  
1050        specify a maximum price it is willing to pay a Provider for the composite of all the energy

1051 supplies and/or services it requests in the RFS, or it may specify separate maximum prices for  
1052 each (or any other combination) of the elements included in this RFS. Once the control  
1053 computer or the Moderator has selected a winning Provider for the Buyer's RFS, the Moderator  
1054 will transmit (perhaps by way of one or more adjunct computers with data links to the applicable  
1055 Providers) selection notifications to the one or more Providers from whom the Buyer will  
1056 purchase the energy supplies or services posted in the RFS. The control computer or the  
1057 Moderator can also transmit Provider selection notifications to the Buyer informing the Buyer of  
1058 the winning Provider or Providers and any relevant transaction information.

1059 To facilitate billing activity, the applicable control computer or the Moderator could  
1060 transmit detailed information concerning actual energy usage for each Buyer to a Billing  
1061 Computer immediately or at intervals specified by the administrator of the control computer, the  
1062 Moderator or the Billing Computer, or by the Buyer or the selected Provider. This data, or  
1063 billing reports derived therefrom, could thereafter be transmitted by the Billing Computer to the  
1064 Buyer and/or the winning Provider via data link or other telecommunications facility. The  
1065 functions of the Billing Computer could, as an alternative, be performed by the Moderator (or the  
1066 applicable control computer). If billing for the particular purchase transaction entered into by the  
1067 Buyer and the Provider does not require such detailed information (e.g., the Buyer purchased a  
1068 set amount of energy supply for a certain future period, without regard to how many kilowatt  
1069 hours are actually used), the Moderator (or control computer) could facilitate billing activity at  
1070 any time (before or after the future delivery date specified as part of the transaction) and without  
1071 receiving detailed energy usage data from the Buyer's meter. In this case, the Moderator (or  
1072 control computer) would likely have all the relevant billing data as soon as the Buyer and  
1073 Provider entered into this forward delivery purchase transaction.

1074

1075 **Description of Figures and Exemplary Embodiments**

1076 Figure 1 shows an exemplary system for carrying out the herein disclosed auction process  
1077 for the provision of electric power or natural gas to end users (or resellers) in which a Moderator  
1078 1 administers the collection and dissemination of bidding information. The Moderator 1 includes  
1079 a computer with a processor and memory, together with input and output devices to  
1080 communicate with the Providers' energy management computers 2, which are the source of the  
1081 bidding information. By means of these systems, the Providers bid to become the selected  
1082 Provider of electric power or natural gas for an end user or group of end users (or resellers). The  
1083 Providers transmit their bids from their energy management computers 2 over data links 3, which  
1084 may be either analog (using modems) or digital. However, the information is usually transmitted  
1085 in digital form for input into the Moderator. Each Provider has an energy management  
1086 administrator who enters energy management instructions into each energy management  
1087 computer 2 through an input port 4 by means, for example, of a keyboard or a data link from a  
1088 remote site or local computer. To give Providers more precise data on which to base their bids,  
1089 the Moderator may transmit to Providers via data link some historical usage profile information  
1090 for participating end users or groups of end users, particularly if an end user or reseller submits a  
1091 request for future service to the Moderator for a substantial quantity of energy to be supplied in  
1092 the future.

1093 The Moderator 1 receives the bids, processes them in its bidding processor 5 to produce  
1094 provider selection data, and enters both into a database in its memory by means of the data buses  
1095 and registers internal to a computer. The bids are sorted according to delivery destination within  
1096 the respective service areas of the DISCOs for subscribing end users. The Moderator 1 processes

1097 the bids to prioritize them for each delivery destination, producing derivative data, including  
1098 provider selection data. This data can reflect, for example, designation of a selected Provider  
1099 and alternate Providers, based on the Providers' bids to supply the power or natural gas  
1100 requirements of each end user or group of end users (or resellers). The Moderator can also  
1101 designate a default Provider in the event, for example, the Provider selected by the bidding  
1102 process has no additional capacity available. The Moderator 1 transmits the derivative data over  
1103 a data link 7 to a control computer 8 associated with the end user or set of end users (or resellers)  
1104 for which the submitted bids are applicable.

1105 The control computer 8 can apply decision rules, formulated and inputted by the control  
1106 computer's administrator (e.g., the energy manager for a very large end user), to the derivative  
1107 data received from the Moderator 1 in order to select a Provider. A control computer may be  
1108 operated by the end user or reseller, the end user's DISCO, or the Moderator (on behalf of the  
1109 end users or resellers associated with that control computer). In many cases, end users or  
1110 resellers may prefer to deal directly with the Moderator or may not wish to assume the additional  
1111 expense, if any, arising from the installation or operation of a control computer. In that event, no  
1112 control computer would be required. As illustrated in Figures 7 and 10, the Moderator can  
1113 perform all the functions that the control computer would otherwise perform, including the  
1114 selection of a Provider offering the lowest rate (or best economic incentive) at that time to each  
1115 such end user or reseller.

1116 As illustrated in Figure 1, once the control computer 8 selects a Provider for an end user  
1117 or set of end users (or resellers), it transmits a notification of that selection to the Moderator via  
1118 data link 7, or perhaps via data bus if the control computer is being operated by the Moderator 1.  
1119 The Moderator 1 then transmits via data link 3 a selection notification to the selected Provider 2

1120 and a specification of the estimated energy requirements of the end user or set of end users (or  
1121 resellers) to be served. The Moderator will also transmit via data link 9 a copy of such selection  
1122 notification to the DISCO 10 serving the end user or applicable set of end users.

1123       The Moderator 1, perhaps using an adjunct computer 11, collects actual energy usage  
1124 data from the end user's meters 12 via the public switched telephone network 13. As illustrated  
1125 in Figures 11 and 12, however, end user meters 12 may communicate usage data to the  
1126 Moderator's adjunct computer 11 via the Internet 14 (including posting such usage data to a  
1127 website from which the Moderator's adjunct computer can download this data) or via a wireless  
1128 communication network 15. Other networks, such as wide-area data networks or the  
1129 communications facilities of a DISCO's local power grid, can also be used.

1130       An adjunct computer is known in the art to be a computer, closely associated with a  
1131 primary computer, that provides the primary computer's operating software additional data or  
1132 operating logic to provide the primary computer with additional operational capability. In the  
1133 herein disclosed architecture, an adjunct computer 11 can be employed, for example, to collect  
1134 energy usage data from end users' meters 12, process that data and transmit such processed data  
1135 to the Moderator 1, each end user's current Provider 2 and the power grid or gas pipeline  
1136 management computer and/or billing computer of that end user's DISCO 10. The adjunct  
1137 computer 11 communicates with the Moderator 1 over a digital data link or data bus 16. If the  
1138 Moderator has enough processing capacity, the function of the adjunct computer may be  
1139 incorporated in the Moderator's processor and memory, the function being implemented in the  
1140 processor by appropriate software. The data link 16 is illustrated as a dedicated transmission  
1141 facility between the Moderator 1 and the adjunct computer 11. However, any other transmission  
1142 technology offering a selective way to transmit data from the Moderator 1 to the adjunct

1143 computer 11 may be used. (A “transmission facility” is a telecommunication path or channel. It  
1144 may be, for example, a wired link, a radio channel in a wireless system, or a time slot in a  
1145 digitally multiplexed optical transmission system).

1146 A computer adjunct to the computer system used by a Provider and/or a DISCO to record  
1147 and store the meter reading data for all of the Provider’s and/or DISCO’s end user customers (or  
1148 perhaps belonging to an independent meter reading service entity performing this function in  
1149 place of the DISCO) can also be employed to receive from the Moderator 1 or its adjunct  
1150 computer 11, via data link 17, 18, the meter reading data measured by each end user’s meter 12.

1151 The Moderator 1 also transmits at least a portion of the received bids to the energy  
1152 network management computers 2 (or associated adjunct computers) of Providers over data links  
1153 3. There are many transmission technologies available to transmit this bid data to the Providers,  
1154 including dedicated bidirectional links between the Moderator and each Provider.

1155 The data inputs and outputs of the Moderator 1, the control computers 8, the various  
1156 adjunct computers, the energy network management computers 2, the end users’ meters 12 and  
1157 the DISCO’s power grid or gas pipeline management and/or billing computers 10 are  
1158 implemented by such devices as interfaces, registers and modems that are well known in the art.

1159 Figure 2 illustrates a system architecture in which the Providers’ energy management  
1160 computers 2 submit bids and receive data transmissions from the Moderator 1 over dedicated  
1161 communications links 19. The control computer 8 receives rate information and/or provider  
1162 selection data and transmits Provider selection notifications to the Moderator 1 over dedicated  
1163 data links 20. The Moderator can transmit such a notification to the applicable Provider 2 over  
1164 dedicated link 19 and to the applicable DISCO’s power grid or pipeline management and/or  
1165 billing computer 10 over shared data link 9.

1166       Figure 3 illustrates a system architecture in which data communications between the  
1167 Moderator 1 and the Providers 2, between the Moderator and the control computers 8, and  
1168 between the Moderator and the DISCOs 10 are carried over shared data links 21, 22, 23, 24, 25,  
1169 26 in each respective case. This could be accomplished, for example, by many known local area  
1170 network (LAN), metropolitan area network (MAN), and wide area network (WAN) technologies.

1171       Figure 4 illustrates an exemplary method of the herein disclosed invention in which  
1172 Providers formulate bids and transmit these bids 28 to the Moderator. Upon receiving such bids  
1173 29, the Moderator processes the bids to determine which bids apply to which set of end users  
1174 associated with each control computer 30, prioritizes the bids by, for example, listing the lowest  
1175 bid first (and then the next lowest and so on) and generates provider selection data 31. The  
1176 Moderator then transmits 32 rate information and/or provider selection data to each applicable  
1177 control computer. After some initial processing of the bids received, the Moderator also  
1178 transmits 33 at least a portion of the received bid information to competing Providers.

1179       The control computer receives from the Moderator the rate information and/or provider  
1180 selection data, applies decision rules, if any, that the control computer administrator has inputted,  
1181 and selects 34 a Provider for each set of end users this control computer serves. The control  
1182 computer transmits 35 to the Moderator a notification identifying the Provider that has been  
1183 selected, together with a specification of the estimated energy requirements for the set of end  
1184 users this Provider will supply. The Moderator, in turn, will transmit 36 this information to a  
1185 computer 37 associated with the selected Provider's energy network management computer and,  
1186 perhaps, to the power grid or gas pipeline management and/or billing computer 38 of the DISCO  
1187 that serves as the local energy distribution company for the set of end users to be supplied by the  
1188 selected Provider.

1189        Figures 5 and 6 illustrate an exemplary system and method of the invention in which the  
1190    control computers 8 transmit Provider selection notifications and specifications of estimated  
1191    energy requirements directly to the selected Providers via data links 39 over an appropriate  
1192    transmission system 40, 41 to each Provider 2. Figure 6 also shows that the control computer  
1193    may transmit 42 Provider selection notifications and energy specification data directly to the  
1194    applicable DISCOs as well.

1195        Figures 7, 8, 9 and 10 illustrate an exemplary system and method of the invention in  
1196    which the Moderator 1 incorporates all of the functions of the control computers. As a result, no  
1197    control computers are needed in this system architecture. The Moderator selects the Provider for  
1198    each end user or set of end users (or resellers), as illustrated in Figure 10. The Moderator then  
1199    notifies the selected Provider and the applicable DISCO of this Provider selection and transmits  
1200    to the selected Provider and the applicable DISCO energy specification data for each end user or  
1201    set of end users to be served. In Figure 7, shared data links are used for communication between  
1202    the Moderator and the end users (or resellers). In Figure 8, the Moderator communicates with  
1203    Providers and DISCOs via dedicated data links 19 and 43, respectively. In Figure 9, shared data  
1204    links 3 and 9 are used for communication between the Moderator 1 and the Providers and  
1205    between the Moderator 1 and the DISCO 10.

1206        Figure 13 illustrates an exemplary system of the invention in which energy usage is  
1207    collected from end user meters 12 by the meter reading department 44 of the DISCO serving as  
1208    the local energy distribution company for such end users. Transmission of such collected meter  
1209    reading data by the DISCO to the Moderator's adjunct computer 11 may be accomplished by any  
1210    of several wired or wireless telecommunications technologies well known in the art.

1211       Figure 14 illustrates the same exemplary system as Figure 13, with the exception that,  
1212 instead of the meter reading department of the applicable DISCO collecting usage data from end  
1213 user meters, that function is performed by a third-party meter reading service 45 (independent of  
1214 the DISCO).

1215       The Moderator, by means of a billing processor, can prepare a billing statement for each  
1216 end user or reseller and transmit such statement via data link to the selected Provider for that end  
1217 user or reseller. This billing processor receives from the Moderator's adjunct computer, via data  
1218 link or data bus, processed meter reading data (including actual energy usage data) for each end  
1219 user. By accessing the Moderator's database, the billing processor obtains the stored bid  
1220 information for the bidder selected by the Moderator as the end user's or reseller's Provider  
1221 during the period of time for which energy usage was measured by the end user's meter (or the  
1222 meters of end users served by resellers). The billing processor matches this information with the  
1223 processed meter reading data for that end user or reseller and creates a billing statement.

1224       As illustrated in Figure 15, the Moderator's adjunct computer 46 collects meter reading  
1225 data from each end user being served and correlates 47 that usage data with the historical bid  
1226 data of each of the Providers that were selected to serve this end user during various periods over  
1227 the billing cycle. As a result of this processing, the Moderator can generate a bill for each end  
1228 user (or the applicable reseller, if any).

1229       Figure 16 illustrates an alternative bill generation approach, in which the DISCO serving  
1230 the applicable end user can generate a bill for that end user (or applicable reseller, if any) if the  
1231 DISCO is responsible for collecting usage data 48 from end user meters. In this exemplary  
1232 system, the Moderator transmits 49 to the applicable DISCO the historical bid data of each of the  
1233 Providers that were selected to serve this end user during various periods over the billing cycle.

1234 The DISCO can correlate 50 this bid information with the meter reading data it collected from  
1235 this end user's meter during the billing cycle in order to generate 51 a bill for this end user (or an  
1236 applicable reseller, if any).